



Using Empathy Approach to Design Type-2 Diabetic User Persona

¹SERAG M IMHEMED, ¹AZRINA KAMARUDDIN,
¹RODZIAH ATAN

¹Dep. Department of Software Engineering and Information Systems, University Putra Malaysia Selangor, Malaysia

serag.alzentani@gmail.com, azrina@upm.edu.my,
rodziah@gmail.com

ISSN 2231-8844

Article Info

Received: 8/2/2017
Accepted: 22/2/2017
Published online: 1/3/2017

ABSTRACT

Empathy approach can be utilized as a tool to uncover sensory information. It also uncovers the experience of target-audience and builds a better understanding of their behaviors and concerns, incorporating them as user-profiles and personas. Modern technology draws a lot of success from how relatable it is to the average person, when designing an interactive technology, the human element is of the highest significance. To develop usable, high efficient and user-friendly application, we must understand, capture and analyze the essence of target audience issues and concerns. This helps the development team to cognitively imagine and communicate how the end-user will interact with the proposed design in a meaningful way. Type-2 diabetes is unquestionably a life-altering incident; to be able to fully understand it, one must focus less on the technical aspects of the issue and more on the human aspects. This research paper adopts an action ethnography approach to create a degree of empathy for diabetic users; bring attention to the significance of health related characteristics for type-2 diabetes personas and demonstrate the process and usefulness of these tools in user requirement gathering, design and implementation planning.

Key words: empathy approach, persona, type-2 diabetic, user-centered design methodology, qualitative technique.

1. Introduction

Diabetes is a progressive chronic condition that is rapidly evolving into epidemic proportions given the high preponderance of Type 2 diabetes globally. According to World Health Organization (WHO) estimates, diabetes claimed the lives of 1.5 million people in 2012 and afflicted 9% of the adult population aged 18 years and above in 2014 (WHO, 2012; WHO,

2014). Mathers & Locar (2006, p. 242) predict that the condition will be the 7th root cause of death by 2030. Diabetes is a hyperglycemic syndrome characterized by polyuria, polydipsia and polyphagia (Diabetes Association, 2011). The disease is a leading cause of cardio-vascular complications, renal failure, retinopathy, nephropathy, neuropathy, and psychological health problems (Diabetes Association, 2011).

In the year 2014, 3.2 million people in Malaysia were living with diabetes and 34, 422 succumbed to diabetes related complication (IDF, 2014). According to International Diabetes Formation (IDF) estimates, diabetes costs per person amount to 570 USD yearly. Given the substantial health, economic and human burden of the disease, there is an urgent need to find improved methods of preventing, detecting and treating diabetes (IDF, 2014).

E-health technologies are presented as enabler's in diabetes prevention and care such as insulin management, nutritional therapy, fitness and exercise regimen, weight management. They can facilitate better patient-provider communication; provide persuasive and interactive information tools to boost patient knowledge and self-management and can also strengthen physicians or patient efficiency through evidence based tracking and recording tools (Chomutare, Fernandez-Luque, Arsenal, & Hartvigsen, 2011).

At its core, appropriate diabetes care constitutes a number of multifaceted aspects: insulin management, nutritional therapy, fitness and exercise regimen, weight management, blood pressure control, frequent patient monitoring as well as consistent information and communication dissemination between patients and providers (Chomutare, Fernandez-Luque, Arsenal, & Hartvigsen, 2011). This well-established and uncompromising treatment plan can take a huge toll on patients psychological and mental wellbeing especially newly diagnosed diabetes patients who have to suddenly overhaul their long-standing routines and deep-seated lifestyle behaviours in order to manage diabetes. Diabetics experience numerous difficulties and dilemmas such as: difficulties comprehending and accepting there condition; financial constraints; fatalism; real or functional analphabetism due to a dearth of relevant information or a maze of confusing and mixed message information; depression and lack of motivation (Vargas-Lombardo et al, 2010).

E-health services for diabetes care have been implemented with varied success due to cost implications, poor reception and in apropos design of applications. There were over 20,000 health apps in popular app store in the year 2014 and over 1000 of these apps are diabetes related (Lee, 2014; Deloitte, 2014).. However, 70% of the apps have achieved minimal success with only 30% of the app managing to obtain 90 days user retention (Lee, 2014; Deloitte, 2014). Studies have also revealed disparities between the implied advantages of M-health apps and real outcomes of mobile health apps. The medical sphere has been particularly skeptical and resistant to the adoption of M-health applications due to the perceived low benefits of these apps (Van Germert-Pignen et al, 2011).

One of the underlying factors that have contributed to the failure of mobile health apps is the design of one-dimensional models based on a generic; one-size fits all approach (Gilliland, 2015;

El Gayar et al. 2013). Applications grounded on this principal assume that information on specialty-diseases like diabetes is transferable to each and every patient afflicted by the disease and therefore simply provide general-purpose information. Such apps fail to consider the users socio-cultural context, psycho-social factors, needs and preference which have a bearing on how users interact with medical devices and information. They also lack human-centeredness with users occupying a peripheral position during the design, development, implementation and evaluation of the application (Van Germert-Pijnen, 2011). Hence, diabetes management approaches should therefore embody multifaceted strategies rooted in psychology, sociology, pathology and behavioral change (Vargas-Lombardo et al, 2010). In order to design products for the type-2 diabetes patients, it is vital to understand and regard their characteristics. Disregarding patients' characteristics needs and emotion will probably result in a product with low acceptance level.

The objective of this research paper is to leverage user-centered design (UCD), particularly empathy approach to drive the creation of user-personas for type-2 diabetes. This would be used as design tools to facilitate the designing and the development of a highly usable mobile-based application for self-monitoring and management of diabetes. This research paper adopts an ethnography research and aims to (1) understand the cognitive structures and behavior that drive patient's thinking and health decision; (2) enhance and complement the traditional user-profile and personas techniques for consume health technology (CHT) design; (3) create user-personas of a narrower spectrum of health care receivers of sensitive nature, self-management of chronic diabetes, with end-result being the creation of personas that can be utilized as a building-blocks for those considering the design and the development and evaluation of CHT that aims at helping similar samples; (4) demonstrate the user-profile and personas' effects on the overall decision-making process involved in the development of a diabetes self-management technology (Reason, & Bradbury, 2005).

2. Review of literature

2.1 User-Centered Design

A set of methodology has been developed, known as, User-Centered Design (UCD). UCD is a combination of the human-computer-interaction (HCI) design and multi-stage problem solving process. It is an analytical procedure that gauges the requirements, needs and constraints of the end-users (Grudin & Pruitt, 2002). The result of the analysis will then be utilized to produce a preliminary model to be prototyped and tested. Empathy, user-profile and user persona are the common UCD techniques in grouping end user requirements. In health care informatics, empathy and user persona data are rarely used in consumer health technology (CHT) research (Ma & LeRouge, 2013). Researchers claim user personas can be very beneficial in: preventing designers from grounding themselves; by understanding users' mental models: and guide the development team to mentally visualize and feel how the intended end-users will interact with the proposed design in a meaningful way (Seffah, Naghshin, & Kline, 2003; Spool, 2004).

Empathy and personas can be utilized as a feature of a whole UCD methodology or to enhance current process by bringing user-centered thinking whenever the design and the development process is being used (Pruitt & Adlin, 2010).

2.2 Personas

User-centered approach puts its focus on the users and more particularly the user types. These are often called personas or user profiles and it is one of the way to comprehend the user's requirements. Personas, though fictitious characters or persons selected to represent larger set of people or group in terms of needs, goals and other personal features (Gudjonsdottir, 2001; Reimann & Cooper, 2003), they are founded and based on real users' knowledge and experience. In creating personas, there is the need for a comprehensive user research to ensure target audience is duly represented instead of writing from a subjective angle or personal idea of the writer (Guðjónsdóttir & Lindquist, 2008). Personas as described by Cooper is a way to include viewpoints from different end user groups without following the one-size-fits all approach or falling into the trap of using a generic user (Cooper). As this being said, personas are created from field of research, whether it is a large complex project that might require ethnographic action research or a standard commercial project that requires a user interviews. Both provides a sufficient source of information about end-user requirements. There are many ways to represents those sources of information as a personas but they are usually conducted and represented in narrative form which are structured ways of typifying of users in textual and pictorial formats. The primary goals behind narrative form are:

- (1) To treat the personas like a real person and bring the user to life, providing a specific target to aid designers and developers in designing a final product.
- (2) To provide a vivid story concerning the needs and goals of the persona in the context of the product being designed.

Thus, the initial step of narrative of a persona starts with a description of the type of individual that a persona is, likes and dislikes, demographic and geographic, combined with psychographic and behavioural profile (Cooper; Grudin & Pruitt, 2002). Concisely, personas has shown significant results in creating successfully products and services, despite the fact that they have been criticized for being ground in informal and unscientific data, for being difficult to implement or preventing designers from contacting real users(L. Nielsen, Nielsen, Stage, & Billestrup, 2013). However, personas have many benefits of which (Cooper):

- (1) It allows the development team and other stakeholders to find a common ground of understanding the requirements of group of users.
- (2) It increases the focus on the target users' needs and make it more explicit (Long, 2009).
- (3) It narrows the users being designed for and enhance the identification with the target user (Ma & LeRouge, 2007; Pruitt & Adlin, 2010).
- (4) It helps in building empathy and allows designers empathize with users to better

understand behaviours, motivations and expectations (Pruitt & Adlin, 2010).

Since personas concentrate on the solid facts about potential users, it would be useful if the needs and emotions of individuals with type-2 diabetes are incorporated among the personas. While this has been empowered and used in different places, there is little data on how personas for type-2 diabetes should be created. This results in risk of creating personas that don't address the needs of people with type-2 diabetes as well as understanding the significant behaviour and emotion of the patients, else this might lead to the gathering of incorrect information or incorrect assumptions about diabetic patients (Grudin & Pruitt, 2002).

2.3 Empathy

Although a human-centered design is surprisingly difficult and understanding user experience is crucial for designing innovative product. One of the main issues is those who have no knowledge about Human Computer Interaction (HCI) or usability experience, the absence of appreciation and understanding of how users think and function (Sanders & Dandavate, 1999). Their assumption is that users will approach and solve problems, similarly as the designers and developers of an interactive solutions (Hudson, 2009). In situations where the success of a product lies in how it is experienced by its users, gaining an empathic understanding of the users is the key factor.

Empathy is often confused with pity, sympathy and compassion which are each reactions to the plight of others. For instance, sympathy is a feeling of care and concern for someone, often someone close, accompanied by a wish to see him better off or happier. Compared to pity, sympathy implies a greater sense of shared similarities together with a more profound personal engagement. However, sympathy, unlike empathy, does not involve a shared perspective or shared emotions, and while the facial expressions of sympathy do convey caring and concern, they do not convey shared distress. Empathy is by definition the intuitive ability to identify the user's thoughts and feelings, their motivations, emotional and mental models, the ability to pinpoint the priorities, preferences and inner conflicts (Koskinen, Battarbee, & Mattelmäki, 2003).

Empathy design is essential for smooth movement from logical/factual matters to practical and confidential situations signifying the perception of individuals having sensation, rather than as just experimental participants. This gives two advantages: subjects, establishment of reverent and empathic information and the designer, provision of empathic idea (Gudjonsdottir, 2001).

In utilizing empathic design approach, both the designer and the user are dynamic elements in the process. This approach would help the internal shift within the designer in order for the empathy and deep understanding to develop. It requires the designer to acknowledge and expand their empathic horizon, boundaries of understanding and knowledge, which can take the designer outside of his and her own comfort zone (Denton & McDonagh, 2003; Laurel, 2003; Thomas & McDonagh, 2013). This empathic technique has been introduced as medium of communication, where empathy was a capability of putting oneself into another's shoes to establish a relationship

and experience the feelings, reactions and behavior of others (Speroff, 1953).

Empathy has shown significant impact on understanding type-2 diabetes patients' needs, perceptiveness, and emotion (Hojat, 2007). In a study that was conducted in Italy (Del Canale et al., 2012), analyzed the health outcomes of more than 20,000 patients with diabetes, who were assigned to three different groups of physicians. The physicians who demonstrated the highest degrees of empathy, achieved the best results with their patients; where the patients had statistically significant lower levels of diabetic complications than the groups whose physicians had scored lower in empathy. By attempting to recognize and empathize with patients, it improves the odds of successful health outcomes (Sultan, Attali, Gilberg, Zenasni, & Hartemann, 2011).

2.4 Empathy Map

Empathy Map (EM) is a tool that helps designers to synthesize their observations and draw out unexpected insights. Unlike personas, empathy mapping focuses on uncovering the sensory information and experience of the end-user. It goes beyond demographic characteristics and builds a better understanding of the end users' behaviors and concerns. Personas focus on interests, skills, personality, dreams and environments; whereas empathy maps uncover what the persona sees, thinks/feels, gains, hears and is challenged by (Osterwalder & Pigneur, 2013). One of the biggest concerns is ensuring what it is being built is a human-centric solution and thus the goal of an empathy map is to create a degree of empathy for a specific user (Gray, Brown, & Macanuso, 2010). According to Bratsberg (2012), an empathy map is a user-centered approach that enables the designer to focus on understanding the user by looking at the world through his or her eyes. The empathy map reveals the reason behind a user's actions, decisions and choices. Therefore, the empathy map helps in designing for real user needs. When the stakeholders understand the user, they are able to understand how small changes in design can have a big impact on users (Bratsberg, 2012).

In the early versions of EM, Matthews (Bland, 2012) proposed four different areas that should be achieved when building an empathy map of a user; 'see', 'say and do', 'think and feel' and 'hear'. However, as an improvement to the EM; Bland (Bland, 2012) added two more important areas; 'pain' and 'gain' (Fig. 1).




Fig. 1. The Template of the Empathy Map (Osterwalder & Pigneur, 2013).

As result, the finalized template consists of six parts of EM as described below:

- **Sees:** Describe what the user sees in the environment.
- **Say and Do:** What the user says and how the user behaves in public.
- **Think and Feel:** What happens in the mind of the user?
- **Hear:** How the environment influences the user.
- **Pain:** What frustrations, obstacles and risks that the user faces.
- **Gain:** What the user really wants and what can be done to achieve the user's goals.

The EM helps in creating profiles of the user/customer's segments beyond the demographic factor, covering environmental and emotional factors and allows for a convenient way to reach out to the users (Osterwalder & Pigneur, 2013). Although it is a business plan model, EM can be adopted for other purposes such as creating comprehensive personas for chronic illness (e.g. Diabetes) (Sultan et al., 2011).

3. Case study

3.1 Target Audience

The target audience for this research is type-2 diabetes patients in Malaysia aged >18 since majority of people with type 2 diabetes from middle to low-income countries, such as Malaysia are under 60 years old (Alberti et al., 2004).

3.2 Methodology

The work presented was developed in the context of the on-going project that involves the design and development of a mobile-based application that facilitates self-management and persuades patients into behavior change and adoption of a healthy-lifestyle. This is a call for attention to key areas where empathy, user profiles and personas can be incorporated. The goal of this application is to persuade patients to adopt healthy habits like self-monitoring of their eating and exercise habits; by invoking emotions; replicating target behavior; providing gratification through incentives and feedback.

UCD methodology, and in particular EM, user profiles and personas as well as behavioral model, are used as a tool to guide the application design and the development.

Traditionally, a user profile contains user requirements and system-relevant characteristics such as: (1) user's technological experience and understanding, (2) cognitive characteristics, (3) tasks, occupation and other requirements. User personas, that follow these standard characteristics may work for a number of technologies, whereas they do not necessary provide sufficient representations to characterize health care consumers. Such characteristics fail to consider the users socio-cultural context, psycho-social factors, behavioral patterns, needs and preference which have a bearing on how users interact with medical devices and information (Van Germert-Pijnen, 2011). As a result, in order for mobile health applications to be effective, they must be designed using a sound conceptual framework that is user-centered, culturally sensitive, patient-centric and context-aware.

There are a great number of potential information sources that could be utilized in generating user profiles and personas. This research was built upon two approaches: literature reviews and a qualitative survey. The creation a persona was done in a staged manner. The initial step was to conduct a literature review that provided us with a general understanding of type-2 diabetes; comprehending the factors that cause the minimal success of m-health application and the factors that influence the adoption of m-health in changing a patient's behavior for long and short-term. We felt that we needed to understand the user's behavioral, emotions, needs and other important attributes that could be incorporated in the user profiles and personas, that could have a significant impact during the designing and development. The second step involved using qualitative survey as an instrument to collect the demographic data and experience the emotion, concerns and needs of our selected target audience. Questions asked in the survey are: relating to our users' knowledge about healthy eating, frustrations, understanding of the illness and their conditions, difficulties they face, type of regime and activity level (being active or passive) and how a self-management application could improve the patient's attitudes towards adopting and maintaining healthy eating habits and lifestyle (Green, Bazata, Fox, & Grandy, 2007). The questionnaires went through several assessments by dietitians and physicians, in order to

simplify, validate our understanding, reduce the redundant questions and ensure that all questions arrived at a consensus viewpoint between the designer and the users. The finalized survey consisted of the following sections:

- **Part 1:** Demographic information. This part was about collecting demographic information about participants.
- **Part 2:** General knowledges and skills. This part involved collecting information about user's eating habits, decision making and food planning. It also asked about their daily food intake and methods used to count their calorie and carbs.
- **Part 3:** Diet, Eating; Problems and Barriers. This part consisted several questions that were meant to gain deeper understanding of patient's frustrations and emotion toward healthy eating and wellbeing.

The entire study was carried out in the period between November 2015 and April 2016. The study sample involved diabetics living in Malaysia. A convenient sample size was used with 13 respondents being involved. We prepared two versions of the survey: online and hardcopy. Data collection took place between November and December 2015. The online version of the survey was created using an easy to use, interactive tool called *Typeform* ((n.d.), 2016).

We contacted the Diabetics of Malaysia (DM), briefing them about our study. They were interested to participate and willing to distribute our questionnaire to Type-2 diabetic patients who are registered under this organization. Alongside, we shared the online survey with patients and people whom their family members have type-2 diabetes. Only 10 participants completed the online survey and three people answered the hardcopy questionnaire. Our next step involved, extracting the significant information from the online survey and hardcopy questionnaires and analyzing them. During the analysis of the data, we grouped the patients into three different categories, based on Body Mass Index (BMI) (Narayan, Boyle, Thompson, Gregg, & Williamson, 2007). We also conducted personality tests on the patients in order to identify why patients have different approaches when they are using health applications. We employed Myers-Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI) which is a psychometric method that was proposed by Carl G. Jung (Ludford & Terveen, 2003). The Fogg Behavioral Model was used to identify the behavioral elements of each patient type. The next step involved drafting our personas and classifying them (Brian J Fogg, 2009)..

Step 4 involved carrying out interviews in 3 patients who we randomly selected from the 13 already recruited in order to further validate our findings and also gain more insights about type-2 diabetic patients. The aim of the interview was to learn about the patient's journey, frustrations, motivations, goals, emotions and behaviors. We kept the interviews very short by asking the patients several questions in which we were able us to build a comprehensive EM. We then included the findings into the already drafted personas.

In an attempt to further validate our findings, so that we have a mutual, clear and precise understanding of type-2 diabetic patients, we performed another meeting with a dietician who has experience in working with diabetes patients in Malaysia. The qualified dietician has validated the findings and provided us with additional information where necessary. Having created the personas, there was the need to validate the persona with the patients. Based on the three created personas, persona was sent to three patients who became our primary contact. They agreed with the theme and information set up in the persona design layout.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1 Overview

A total of 13 participants were included in the study. Ten patients filled out the online questionnaire and 3 filled out the hardcopy questionnaires. Three participants, who were randomly selected from the already recruited respondents, were later interviewed. Of the participants, 75% were females and 25% were males with majority aged between 25 and 60 years. Majority were of Malaysian descent with most of them having type 2 diabetes. According to the findings, patients' mobile usage and skill are intermediate. With regards to Attitude towards Healthcare, respondents' overall result for the internal subscale is greater than powerful others and chance (Maniam, Dhillon, J. S., & Baghaei, 2015). This indicates that the respondents have a positive attitude towards controlling and managing their health. They are aware that health it is not a matter of chance, it is not controlled by external forces (such as health professionals), but it is primarily internal (Maniam, Dhillon, J. S., & Baghaei, 2015).

The patients differed in their health beliefs, psychographic, behavioristic, and physical health. Majority of the patients showed lack of knowledge on the impacts of their eating habits and behaviors on their disease. We observed that majority of the participants who engaged in the study were either obese (>30) or overweight BMI (25-29.9). There were very few who had an ideal healthy weight (Narayan, Boyle, Thompson, Gregg, & Williamson, 2007). This led us to conclude that there are three types of diabetes patients to be considered during the design and development of any Consumer Health Technology (CHT) application, as shown in the "Table I". In relation with that, there was a need to understand how and why patients have different approach when they are using software applications (Ludford & Terveen, 2003). Thus, we conducted a personality trait test on these patients which showed that the patients fell into several personality types characterized by traits such as acceptance, denial and depression among others. The idea behind using MBTI is to find elements that would allow us to outline some personality traits for a quick user modeling. The survey, interview and observation of patients, result were used to identify the personality type out of 16 combinations in MBTI type indicator. Three personality types were discovered for each archetype, as shown in the Table 1.

The next additional significant component to be included in the persona, was to identify the behavioral elements of each patient type. Based on Fogg behavioral model (FBM) (Brian J Fogg, 2009), three elements simultaneously affect behavior: motivation, ability, and trigger. They must converge at the same moment for a behavior to occur. This model will help us to understand our participants' behavior and where they are in behavioral model diagram, it will allow us to categorize the participants based on their motivation and ability and what kind of trigger to set for each user in order for the behavior change to occur.

Table 1: Elements of Personas

| Archetype | Archetype -Characteristics | | |
|------------------|---|---|--|
| | Description | Personality | Behavioral |
| Autopilot | Is accepting the fact that diabetes is a life-journey and has to keep on living. Yet this type of patient lack of self-management but has motivation on learning and is willing to take control over their condition | Adequacy, Appreciation Tolerance | High Motivation, Hard "Low" Ability |
| Director | Is already taking control of their conditions. They have knowledge about what to eat, how to read information labels, monitor their blood sugar, etc. Their goal is to maintain healthy lifestyle. | Acceptance, Confidence, Gratitude | Ideal Motivation & Ability |
| Victim | Is living in denial and depression. Feeling of fear, anger and guilt and that his/her life is heading toward death. This type of patient is lack of proper health-care guidance and require a lot of attention and monitoring, persuasion is an optimal solution. | Denial, Depression, Disinterest | Low Motivation, Easy-"High" Ability |

In this study, the behavioral components of each patient type were grouped based on the three archetype. The behavioral model will help the designers to understand the user’s behavior; aid in better designing decisions of the application and the creation of triggers that would change patient’s behavior for long and short-term. Fogg explained that in order for the health application to be useful and efficient at altering user’s attitude and behavioral toward healthy change and lifestyle, it’s recommended to make any kind of tasks/process/trigger easier while aiming at




Fig. 2. Our proposed - User-Persona Template.

enhancing the user’s willingness and motivation. The personas’ information such as description of archetype, personality, characteristics, and behavior status of each patients, have significantly allowed us to easily identify these three elements (Brian J Fogg, 2009).

4.2 Empathy, Persona Results

As the result of the above discussion, we incorporated our findings from data analysis, empathy map, user profiles and requirements into our proposed persona template that will be

used for the design and the development of high usable mobile application for type-2 diabetes. The template as shown Fig.2 is designed for user group of overweight BMI (25-29.9), patient with archetype of autopilot as described in the Table 1. This sample illustrated the patient's behavioral level, personality traits as well as the motivation incentive, pain-points. The goals of what we have obtained from the interview which indicates that this type of patient is willing to take control of her health condition but yet the difficulties that she is facing, is the lack of proper management in her busy schedule. Additionally, she finds it hard to make healthy eating decision and one of her daily bad habit is eating sweet-tasting food that are high on carbohydrates, calories and sugar. She wishes that she could avoid taking medicine by any means even if that requires a change in her lifestyle and wellbeing. She is in need of an application that could motivate, educate and persuade her through healthy change, particularly concerning eating habits.

5. Conclusions

The adoption of empathy approach helps in creating usable, yet comprehensive personas that may arguably enhance design and development performance for type-2 diabetes application in many ways such as: (1) empathy with this particular type of user, (2) designing for ability by simplifying task and creating a dynamic progression. To increase the level of understanding diabetic patients and their context are achieved by expanding and embellishing traditional profile and persona content to include health beliefs, psychographic, behavioristic, and physical health considerations. The resulting user models can be used in future research.

6. Acknowledgment

The authors gratefully acknowledge Dr. Zulfitri 'Azuan, Lillesand, Dr. Idyawati Hussein, Dr. Alfian Abdul Halin , Dr. Salmi Baharom and all the diabetic patientst that participated and contributed invaluablely in this research.

References

- WHO. (2012). *Global status report on non-communicable diseases*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.
- WHO. (2014). *Global status report on non-communicable diseases*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.
- Mathers, C. D., & Loncar, D. (2006). Projections of Global Mortality and Burden of Disease from 2002 to 2030. *PLoS Med*, 3(11), e442. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0030442
- American Diabetes Association. (2011). Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. *Diabetes Care*, 34(Supplement_1), S62-S69. doi:10.2337/dc11-s062

- IDF. (2015). Diabetes in Malaysia 2014. Retrieved December 11, 2015, from <https://www.idf.org/membership/wp/malaysia>
- Osterwalder, A., & Pigneur, Y. (2013). Business model generation: inovação em modelos de negócios.
- Bland, D. (2012). Agile coaching tip—What is an empathy map. Available in <http://www.bigvisible.com/2012/06/what-is-an-empathy-map>.
- Gray, D., Brown, S., & Macanuso, J. (2010). Gamestorming: A playbook for innovators, rulebreakers, and changemakers.
- Reimann, R., & Cooper, A. (2003). About Face 2.0: The Essentials of Interaction Design. Editorial Wiley.
- Gudjonsdottir, R. (2001). *Life-Size Personas. Proceedings of the Usability Professionals*. Paper presented at the Tenth Annual Conference., Bloomington, IL.
- Guðjónsdóttir, R., & Lindquist, S. (2008). Personas and scenarios: Design tool or a communication device? *Proceedings of COOP', Carry Le Rouet, France*, 165-176.
- Cooper, A. The Inmates Are Running the Asylum. Indianapolis.(1999). In.
- Grudin, J., & Pruitt, J. (2002). *Personas, participatory design and product development: An infrastructure for engagement*. Paper presented at the PDC
- Nielsen, L., Nielsen, K. S., Stage, J., & Billestrup, J. (2013). Going global with personas. *Human-Computer Interaction—INTERACT 2013*, 350-357.
- Long, F. (2009). *Real or Imaginary*. Paper presented at the The effectiveness of using personas in product design', proceedings of the Irish Ergonomics Society annual Conference.
- Ma, J., & LeRouge, C. (2007). Introducing user profiles and personas into information systems development. *AMCIS 2007 Proceedings*, 237.
- Pruitt, J., & Adlin, T. (2010). The persona lifecycle: keeping people in mind throughout product design.
- Hudson, W. (2009). *Reduced empathizing skills increase challenges for user-centered design*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems.
- Koskinen, I., Battarbee, K., & Mattelmäki, T. (2003). Empathic design: User experience in product design.
- Sanders, E. B.-N., & Dandavate, U. (1999). *Design for experiencing: New tools*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the First International Conference on Design and Emotion, Overbeeke, CJ, Hekkert, P.(Eds.), Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Chomutare, T., Fernandez-Luque, L., Arsenal, E., & Hartvigsen, G. (2011). Features of mobile diabetes applications: review of the literature and analysis of current applications compared against evidence-based guidelines. *Journal of Medical Internet Reserch*, 13(3).
- Vargas Lambardo, M., Jipsion, A., Vejarono, R., Camargio, I., Alvarez, H., Mor, E. V., & Ruiz, E. M. (2010, February). *Implementation a holistic model, interactive and persuasive to facilitate self-care of patients with diabetes*. Paper presented at Second International Conference on E-health, Telemedicine and Social Medicine by IEEE.

- Van Gemert-Pijnen, J. E., Nijland, N., Van Limburg, M., Ossebaard, H. C., Kelders, S. M., Eysenbach, G., & Seydel, E. R. (2011). A Holistic Framework to Improve the Uptake and Impact of eHealth Technologies. *J Med Internet Res*, *13*(4), e111. doi:10.2196/jmir.1672
- Gilliland, J., Sandler, R., Clark, A., O'Connor, C., Milckzarek, M., & Doherty, S. (1999). Using a smartphone application to promote healthy dietary behaviors and local food consumption. *Biomed Research International*, *2015*(2015), 31-38. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2015/841368>
- Alberti, G., Zimmet, P., Shaw, J., Bloomgarden, Z., Kaufman, F., & Silink, M. (2004). Type 2 Diabetes in the Young: The Evolving Epidemic The International Diabetes Federation Consensus Workshop. *Diabetes care*, *27*(7), 1798-1811.
- Denton, H., & McDonagh, D. (2003). Using focus group methods to improve students' design project research in schools: Drawing parallels from action research at undergraduate level. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, *13*(2), 129-144.
- Thomas, J., & McDonagh, D. (2013). Empathic design: Research strategies. *The Australasian medical journal*, *6*(1), 1.
- Laurel, B. (2003). Design research: Methods and perspectives.
- Speroff, B. (1953). Empathy and role-reversal as factors in industrial harmony. *The journal of social psychology*, *37*(1), 117-120.
- Hojat, M. (2007). Empathy in patient care: Antecedents, development, measurement, and outcomes.
- Sultan, S., Attali, C., Gilberg, S., Zenasni, F., & Hartemann, A. (2011). Physicians' understanding of patients' personal representations of their diabetes: Accuracy and association with self-care. *Psychology & health*, *26*(sup1), 101-117.
- Del Canale, S., Louis, D. Z., Maio, V., Wang, X., Rossi, G., Hojat, M., & Gonnella, J. S. (2012). The relationship between physician empathy and disease complications: an empirical study of primary care physicians and their diabetic patients in Parma, Italy. *Academic Medicine*, *87*(9), 1243-1249.
- Vargas Lambardo, M., Jipsion, A., Vejarono, R., Camargio, I., Alvarez, H., Mor, E. V., & Ruiz, E. M. (2010, February). *Implementation a holistic model, interactive and persuasive to facilitate self-care of patients with diabetes*. Paper presented at Second International Conference on E-health, Telemedicine and Social Medicine by IEEE.
- Spool, J. M. (2004). Three Important Benefits of Personas. *User Interface Engineering [serial on the Internet]*.
- Narayan, K. V., Boyle, J. P., Thompson, T. J., Gregg, E. W., & Williamson, D. F. (2007). Effect of BMI on lifetime risk for diabetes in the US. *Diabetes care*, *30*(6), 1562-1566.
- Seffah, A., Naghshin, R., & Kline, R. (2003). Using walkthroughs to profile software developer personas.
- Green, A., Bazata, D., Fox, K., & Grandy, S. (2007). Health-related behaviours of people with diabetes and those with cardiometabolic risk factors: results from SHIELD. *International journal of clinical practice*, *61*(11), 1791-1797.

- Pruitt, J., & Adlin, T. (2010). The persona lifecycle: keeping people in mind throughout product design
- Combining Survey And Interview To Assess Safety Culture In Healthcare Tita A. Listyowardojo, Anna H. Robertson, Stephen Leyshon, Inger-Marie Blix Det Norske Veritas (DNV) Research And Innovation, Healthcare Programme, Høvik, Norway.
- Bratsberg, H. M. (2012). Empathy Maps of the FourSight Preferences.
- Ludford, P. J., & Terveen, L. G. (2003). *Does an individual's Myers-Briggs type indicator preference influence task-oriented technology use?* Paper presented at the INTERACT.
- Fogg, B. J. (2009). *A behavior model for persuasive design.* Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 4th international Conference on Persuasive Technology.
- (n.d.), T. (2016, May,26). Typeform Online Survey. Retrieved from from <https://www.typeform.com/>
- Chomutare, T., Fernandez-Luque, L., Arsenal, E., & Hartvigsen, G. (2011). Features of mobile diabetes applications: review of the literature and analysis of current applications compared against evidence-based guidelines. *Journal of Medical Internet Reserch*, 13(3).
- Maniam, A., Dhillon, J. S., & Baghaei, N. (2015, September). Determinants of Patients' Intention to Adopt Diabetes Self-Management Applications. In Proceedings of the 15th New Zealand Conference on Human-Computer Interaction (pp. 43-50). ACM.
- LeRouge, C., Ma, J., Sneha, S., & Tolle, K. (2013). User profiles and personas in the design and development of consumer health technologies. *International journal of medical informatics*, 82(11), e251-e268.
- Grudin, J., & Pruitt, J. (2002). Personas, participatory design and product development: An infrastructure for engagement. Paper presented at the PDC.
- Reason, P., & Bradbury, H. (2005). *Handbook of action research: participative inquiry and practice.* London: Sage Publications.